ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Use of Prescription Medicines in Malaysia 2005

S Sarojini*, A Faridah*, C M Lim*, S A R Sameerah**, T O Lim*, L S Lai*, S M Chew*

*Clinical Research Centre, Ministry of Health, **Pharmaceutical Services Division, Ministry of Health, Malaysia

SUMMARY

The National Medicines Use Survey (NMUS) which started in 2004 and is still ongoing was conducted with the intent to continuously and systematically collect data on the use of medicines, to provide an overview on the use of medicines in Malaysia. The objective of the NMUS is therefore to quantify the present state and time trends of medicines utilization at various levels of our health care system whether national, regional, local or institutional. From the data available, for the Year 2005, the most commonly used medicine in Malaysia were anti-diabetic medications, of which glibenclamide is the most common followed by metformin, were the top 2 of the list of drugs utilized in DDD/1000 population/day. Collectively, however, taking into account the various antihypertensives by therapeutic groups, anti-hypertensive medicines were more commonly used than anti-diabetics. Hypertension and diabetes mellitus are the two most prevalent chronic disorders in the country and thus, such high medicines utilization rates for these conditions are to be expected. From the general practice prescription data, it was estimated that a patient with hypertension was prescribed a median of only one (1) anti-hypertensive medication. This means, the vast majority of patients (81%) were on monotherapy, which is hardly sufficient to achieve treatment target. Clearly then, given the prevalence of hypertension, many patients were not on drug treatment at all, and of those treated, their drug treatment are likely to be inadequate.

KEY WORDS:

National medicines use survey, Defined daily dose, Anatomical therapeutic chemical classification

INTRODUCTION

However, for the potential benefits of modern pharmaceuticals to be fully realized, there must be in place a properly functioning health system to ensure that medicines are accessible to the population, and that they are quality assured and used rationally. All countries are striving to meet these three key objectives, access, quality and rational use, in their pharmaceutical sector but with varying degree of success. For example, WHO estimated that a third of the world population lack access to essential medicine¹ half of pharmaceuticals are prescribed or dispensed inappropriately², and half of patients take their medications incorrectly² and only 20% of countries have well developed regulations to assure the safety, efficacy and quality of medicines available to their populations³. There is no doubt that Malaysia has made impressive improvement in health care in the last decade. In the pharmaceutical sector, the safety, efficacy and quality of medicines are better quality assured than ever with our own regulatory authority, the National Pharmaceutical Control Bureau (NPCB) playing a leading role in this respect. Counterfeit and poor quality medicines remain thankfully uncommon, unlike the situation in other countries³. On the objective of access to and rational use of medicine, one may surmise that the rising expenditure on healthcare in general and pharmaceutical in particular ought to translate into improving access, though data to support this is hard to come by.

With this in mind, National Medicines Use Survey (NMUS) was established. The NMUS is a project by Ministry of Health Malaysia to estimate the quantity and pattern of use of medicines in Malaysia. It is designed to collect data on an ongoing basis to provide an accurate estimate of usage over time. NMUS was launched in late 2004, and has collected sufficient amount of data to produce two reports on drug utilization.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The NMUS conducted several surveys from January 2005 till Dec 2005 in order to capture data at the various levels of the medicines supply and distribution system in the country. Data sources that are absolutely critical and/or accessible are targeted. This report describes the most commonly used medicines by therapeutic groups and by specific drugs. The scope was also limited to "prescription only" medicines and excludes over-the-counter medicines, traditional or herbal products and food supplements.

Survey population, sampling and response or coverage rate

The statistics presented in this report are derived primarily from medicines procurement and prescription. Collection of prescription data is limited to clinic practices, while hospital prescription is assumed to be included in hospital procurement data.

For the Ministry of Health pharmaceutical procurement, there was 100% coverage of the central tender for 128 hospitals and 69% coverage for local purchases done by individual hospitals. Forty-six of the 114 private hospitals, 100% (3 hospitals) of the University hospitals and 1 out of 3 army hospitals' pharmaceutical procurement were captured in this 2005 survey. Six hundred and ten (610) private general practitioners responded to the prescription survey and 55 of the private pharmacies responded to the pharmacy dispensing survey.

This article was accepted: 22 May 2008 Corresponding Author: Sarojini S, Clinical Research Centre, 3rd Floor, Dermatology Block, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia Email: saro@crc.gov.my

Data management

The collected data, whether in databases or in paper or electronic data collection form must be compiled into a single database, appropriately processed and coded prior to statistical analysis.

The NMUS database was created in Ms SQL Server 2000. The application has three modules: Contact Management, Data Entry and Data Processing.

- Contact Management module is used to collect the establishment survey details, log and track all the correspondence documents with Source Data Producers (SDP), and forecast, plan and schedule the conduct of the survey.
- Data Entry module is used to collect the data submitted by the SDP in paper form. It has been designed to collect data from General Practitioner prescription survey and pharmacy dispensing survey.
- Data Processing module is used to clean, manage and process the medical data prior to statistical analysis. The automated data processing functionalities include Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical Classification system, Defined Daily Dose (DDD) Assignment, Total Dosage Calculation and Unit Conversion. The ATC Classification system, and the unit of measurement DDD is recommended by the WHO to be used for drug utilization research and for the purpose of comparisons of drug consumption statistics between countries, between regions or population groups within the country and to evaluate trends in drug use over time.

Statistical methods

As explained above, the quantity of use of a medicine is expressed as, depending on the type of medicine, the number of DDDs per 1000 inhabitants per day or DDDs per inhabitants per year. These statistics are calculated as follows:

DDDs/1000 inhabitants/day =
$$\frac{T*1000}{DDD*P*365}$$

DDDs/1000 inhabitants/year = $\frac{T}{T}$

Where

T is an estimate of the total quantity of the drug utilized in the year under consideration

DDD*P

DDD is the DDD assigned for the drug according to the ATC/DDD system

P is the mid-year population of Malaysia or the relevant area where the survey was conducted

365 refers to the 365 days in a year

In either case, an estimate of the total quantity of the drug being utilized in the year is required, and this must be expressed in the same unit as the DDD assigned for the drug. The statistical estimation of the totals varies depending on the survey method and the sampling design employed to collect the data, and if necessary with adjustment for incomplete data.

RESULTS

The top 30 therapeutic groups by utilization in DDD/1000 population/day 2005 are shown as in Table I and the top 40 drugs by utilization in DDD/1000 population/day 2005 in Table II.

The most commonly used medicines in 2005 in Malaysia were anti-diabetic medications (3.6% of the population was on this), of which glibenclamide (1.3% of population) and metformin (1.2% of population) were the top two most commonly used drugs.

The various anti-hypertensive medications also figured very high on the top 30 list; beta-blockers was second (2.4% of population on this), followed by agents acting on the reninangiotensin system (third on list, 2.1%), calcium channel blockers (fourth on list, 1.9%), and diuretics (seventh on list, 1.21%; though this include high ceiling diuretics not used for hypertension). Collectively, these anti-hypertensive medicines were more commonly used than anti-diabetics.

After anti-diabetic and anti-hypertensive medications, lipid modifying agents were the next most commonly used therapeutic group, of which simvastatin (0.8% of population) and lovastatin (0.6% of population) were the top two most commonly used lipid reducers.

Drugs for obstructive airway disease was the next most commonly utilized therapeutic group (1.3% of population). Anti-histamines for systemic use was a surprisingly highly used medicine (1.1% of population), mostly chlorphenamine and loratadine. Anti-bacterial medicines not surprisingly were widely used, amoxicillin was the most popular item in the group. Similarly, anti-rheumatic medicines were also commonly used (1.2% of population).

Other notable levels of medicine utilization observed (in terms of % of population on), were

- Drugs for acid related disorders such as peptic ulcers (0.5% of population)
- Systemic corticosteroids (0.5%)
- Psycholeptics (0.5%)
- Thyroid therapy (0.4%, mostly accounted by carbimazole 0.3%)
- Anti-epileptics (0.16%)
- Anti-gout medicines (0.18%)

DISCUSSION

Hypertension and diabetes mellitus are the two most prevalent chronic disorders in the country (In 1996, prevalence of hypertension was 33%⁴ and diabetes mellitus 8%⁵); thus in the light of known disease epidemiology, such high medicines utilization rates for these conditions are to be expected. Indeed one may question whether they were sufficiently high to ensure all in need of therapy were on treatment and properly controlled. From general practice prescription data, we estimated a patient with hypertension was prescribed a median of only one (1) anti-hypertensive medication. That is, the vast majority of patients (81%) were on mono-therapy, which is hardly sufficient to achieve treatment target⁶. Clearly then, given the prevalence of

Table I: Top 30 Therapeutic groups by Utilization in DDD/1000 population/day 2005									
n	ATC	Therapeutic group	Public	Private	Total				
1	A10	Drugs Used In Diabetes	27.2982	8.5582	35.8564				
2	C07	Beta Blocking Agents	17.6430	6.0770	23.7199				
3	C09	Agents Acting On The Renin-Angiotensin System	14.0994	7.0660	21.1654				
4	C08	Calcium Channel Blockers	14.4420	4.8424	19.2844				
5	C10	Lipid Modifying Agents	9.5495	9.0349	18.5844				
6	R03	Drugs For Obstructive Airway Diseases	8.8141	4.7442	13.5583				
7	C03	Diuretics	8.1720	4.0487	12.2207				
8	M01	Antiinflammatory And Antirheumatic Products	4.2187	7.5511	11.7698				
9	R06	Antihistamines For Systemic Use	5.7836	5.3744	11.1580				
10	J01	Antibacterials For Systemic Use	3.5320	6.0044	9.5363				
11	B01	Antithrombotic Agents	4.9768	4.3798	9.3566				
12	C01	Cardiac Therapy	3.2021	3.3546	6.5567				
13	N05	Psycholeptics	3.8167	1.9859	5.8026				
14	A02	Drugs For Acid Related Disorders	2.0122	3.1497	5.1619				
15	H02	Corticosteroids For Systemic Use	1.5774	3.5370	5.1144				
16	H03	Thyroid Therapy	1.3160	2.9791	4.2951				
17	C02	Antihypertensives	3.1635	0.3405	3.5040				
18	M04	Antigout Preparations	1.0330	0.7430	1.7760				
19	N03	Antiepileptics	1.3009	0.3089	1.6099				
20	N06	Psychoanaleptics	0.8536	0.6957	1.5492				
21	N07	Other Nervous System Drugs	0.4368	0.7994	1.2363				
22	J04	Antimycobacterials	0.8000	0.2633	1.0633				
23	N04	Anti-Parkinson Drugs	0.7075	0.1996	0.9072				
24	M05	Drugs For Treatment Of Bone Diseases	0.4807	0.3043	0.7851				
25	P01	Antiprotozoals	0.4789	0.1770	0.6559				
26	N02	Analgesics	0.3333	0.1487	0.4819				
27	J02	Antimycotics For Systemic Use	0.0628	0.2903	0.3531				
28	L02	Endocrine Therapy	0.1598	0.1812	0.3410				
29	J05	Antivirals For Systemic Use	0.1908	0.0887	0.2794				
30	B03	Antianemic Preparations	0.1309	0.1026	0.2335				

able I: Top 30 Therapeutic groups by Utilization in DDD/1000 population/day 2005

Table II: Top 40 Drugs by Utilization in DDD/1000 population/day 2005

<u>n</u>	ATC	Drugs	Public	Private	<u>Total</u>				
1	A10B B01	Glibenclamide	10.9231	1.9997	12.9228				
2	A10B A02	Metformin	9.1975	2.7895	11.9870				
3	C07A B02	Metoprolo	10.6187	1.0397	11.6584				
4	C08C A05	Nifedipine	9.5203	1.0908	10.6112				
5	C07A B03	Atenolol	6.4350	3.9213	10.3563				
6	C10A A01	Simvastatin	2.8973	5.4087	8.3060				
7	C09A A04	Perindopril	6.0259	1.0429	7.0689				
8	C08C A01	Amlodipine	3.9237	2.6909	6.6146				
9	B01A C06	Acetylsalicylic acid	3.7934	2.4324	6.2258				
10	C10A A02	Lovastatin	5.3584	0.6636	6.0220				
11	A10B B09	Gliclazide	3.4366	1.8662	5.3028				
12	C03C A01	Furosemide	3.3789	1.3548	4.7337				
13	C09A A02	Enalapril	3.1080	1,1079	4.2159				
14	C09A A01	Captopril	3.5133	0.3598	3.8731				
15	C03A A04	Chlorothiazide	3.7072	0.0359	3.7431				
16	M01A B05	Diclofenac	1.4783	2.2399	3.7183				
17	R03A C02	Salbutamol	3.4157	0.2726	3.6883				
18	R06A B04	Chlorphenamine	2.7969	0.3991	3.1960				
19	H03B B01	Carbimazole	0.5594	2.5261	3.0855				
20	M01A G01	Mefenamic acid	1.3606	1.7135	3.0741				
21	R03B A02	Budesonide	1.1585	1.7650	2.9235				
22	H02A B06	Prednisolone	1.0848	1.5624	2.6472				
23	C02C A01	Prazosin	2.2932	0.1050	2.3982				
24	A10A D01	Insulin (human), intermediate acting combined with fast acting	1.8981	0.2938	2.1920				
25	J01C A04	Amoxicillin	0.6914	1.4541	2.1455				
26	C01E B15	Trimetazidine	1.0461	1.0695	2.1156				
27	R06A X13	Loratadine	0.5321	1.3401	1.8722				
28	R06A E07	Cetirizine	0.3803	1.4465	1.8268				
29	C10A A05	Atorvastatin	0.2281	1.5762	1.8042				
30	R03D A04	Theophylline	1.3809	0.4001	1.7810				
31	H02A B02	Dexamethasone	0.2967	1.4303	1.7270				
32	A02B C01	Omeprazole	0.4546	1,2120	1.6666				
33	A02B A02	Ranitidine	0.8601	0.7352	1.5953				
34	C09C A01	Losartan	0.6740	0.8777	1.5517				
35	M04A A01	Allopurinol	0.9639	0.5355	1.4994				
36	C01D A08	Isosorbide dinitrate	1.2741	0,1517	1.4258				
37	R06A D02	Promethazine	1.1025	0.3044	1.4069				
38	R03C C02	Salbutamol	0.7096	0.6626	1.3722				
39	C03A A03	Hydrochlorothiazide	0.2448	1.0941	1.3389				
40	B01A C05	Ticlopidine	0.6441	0.6647	1.3088				

hypertension, many patients were not on drug treatment at all, and of those treated, their drug treatment are likely to be inadequate, consistent with survey showing only 6% of Malaysian patients with hypertension on drug treatment did achieve blood pressure control⁴.

This utilization pattern is in sharp contrast to Australia (the only country in the region with available medicine use statistics⁷), where lipid reducers (atorvastatin and simvastatin), followed by anti-hypertensives (ramipril and diltiazem hydrochloride), and salbutamol dominated its top five drug list in year 2005 followed by irbesartan, omeperazole, frusemide, asprin and sertraline taking the next five places. Anti-asthmatics is not on the Malaysia top ten drug list, which is to be expected considering the difference in asthma prevalence between the two countries⁸, while the relatively lower use of lipid reducers (only 1.7% of population compared with 7% or higher in Australia) definitely suggests under-utilization of lipid reducers, even if past survey has shown lower prevalence of hypercholesterolemias in Malaysia⁹. Another interesting contrast is simvastatin (sixth on the list) and lovastatin (tenth on the list) are commonly used in Malaysia, while atorvastatin topped the Australian list (atorvastatin is twenty-ninth on the Malaysian list), clearly indicating preference for low-priced generics in Malaysian practice, in the absence of public reimbursement unlike in Australia.

Regarding the wide use of anti-histamines, drugs for acid disorders, systemic corticosteroids, psycholeptics, thyroid therapy, anti-epileptics, and anti-gout medicines in the Malaysian population, little is known about the epidemiology and treatment of the diseases for which these medicines are indicated in Malaysia. They deserve further investigation.

Some of the limitations when interpreting the data are that a medicine may have several indications while the DDD is based on the main indication in adults, medicines procured or prescribed or dispensed, as presented here may not necessarily be consumed, DDD may be difficult to assign for medicines with multiple ingredients, topical products, antineoplastic and anaesthetic agents, newly introduced medicines may yet to have DDD assigned and finally, the DDD assigned to a drug is primarily based on other countries' experience and may not reflect the commonly prescribed adult dose in Malaysia.

CONCLUSION

Anti-hypertensive, anti-diabetic and lipid reducers are the three most highly utilized medicines and this correlates with the known high prevalence of diabetes, hypertension and hyperlipidaemia although their treatment may still be inadequate. Information on utilization or underutilization of medicines are important for the planning of interventions to improve the use of medicines. The National Medicines Use Survey will continue to be an ongoing activity to track the utilization of medicines, which will change with time due to various reasons such as ageing population, discovery of new medicines or changing lifestyle of the population.

FUNDING

The National Medicines Use Survey was funded by a grant from the Ministry of Health (MRG Grant Number 00311).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank the medical doctors, pharmacists, institutions and all those who have participated in the NMUS surveys. We also wish to thank the Director-General of Health Malaysia for encouraging the work and for granting permission to publish this paper.

REFERENCES

- 1. WHO. How to develop and implement a national drug policy. WHO Policy Perspectives on Medicines, 2000, No.6 Geneva, World Health Organization.
- WHO. Promoting rational use of medicines: core components. WHO Policy Perspectives on Medicines, 2000, No.5 Geneva, World Health Organization.
- WHO. Effective medicines regulation: ensuring safety, efficacy and quality. WHO Policy Perspectives on Medicines, 2000, No.7 Geneva, World Health Organization.
- Lim TO, Zaki M, Maimunah AH, Rozita H, Ding LM. Prevalence, awareness, treatment and control of Hypertension in Malaysian adult population. Singapore Med J. 2004; 45: 20-27.
- Lim TO, Ding LM, Zaki M, Suleiman AB, et al. Distribution of blood glucose in a national sample of Malaysian adults. Med J Malaysia. 2000; 55: 65-77.
- Hansson L et al. Effects of intensive blood pressure; lowering and low dose aspirin in patients with hypertension: principal results of the Hypertension Optimal Treatment (HOT) randomized trial. Lancet. 1998; 31: 1755-62.
- Australian Statistics on Medicine 2004-2005. Commonwealth Department of health and ageing Australia.
- International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) Steering Committee. Worldwide variations in the prevalence of asthma symptoms: the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC). Eur Respir J. 1998; 12: 315-35.
- Lim TO, Ding LM, Zaki M, Suleiman AB, et al. Distribution of blood total cholesterol in a national sample of Malaysian adults. Med J Malaysia. 2000; 55: 78-89.